

science & technology

Department: Science and Technology **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA** 



# Dynamic Vegetation Models as a tool for understanding global change impacts on South African biomes

Glenn R. Moncrieff<sup>1,2</sup>, Jasper Slingsby<sup>1,3</sup>, Steven I. Higgins<sup>4</sup>, Simon Scheiter<sup>5</sup>

- 1. Fynbos Node, South African Environmental Observation Network
- 2. Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa
- 3. University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- 4. University of Otago, Dunedin New Zealand
- 5. Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Frankfurt, Germany

Predicting biome distributions



Whittaker 1975

Species distribution models





#### 390 ppm

#### 500-700 ppm







#### Instantaneous equilibrium

Long term adaptation scenarios



Predicted current biome climate envelope



Predicted biome climate envelope: CSIRO



### Adding plant functioning

To overcome these problems we need to model plants more mechanistically

We need to model the rate at which change occurs

To do this we start at the leaf level:

Photosynthesis = f (Internal CO2, Temp, Light, Nutrients)

Farquar et al 1980



#### Adding plant functioning

Photosynthesis = f (Internal CO2, Temp, Light, Nutrients) Farquar et al 1980



Potter et al 2003

#### **Dynamic Vegetation Models**



Plant functional types



#### Global Change Biology

Global Change Biology (2009) 15, 2224–2246, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01838.x

#### Impacts of climate change on the vegetation of Africa: an adaptive dynamic vegetation modelling approach

#### SIMON SCHEITER\* and STEVEN I. HIGGINS†

\*Lehrstuhl für Vegetationsökologie, Technische Universität München, 85350 Freising-Weihenstephan, Germany, †Institut für Physische Geographie, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany





### Can we trust this?

I can give you a prediction of many, many aspects of vegetation

I can also get it to match simple maps of observed vegetation

But I am getting the right answer for the wrong reasons?

Australia example: New continent, new parameters, should we believe the projections?

## Prediction



Scheiter et al 2014

## Validation

Howard Springs



Scheiter et al 2014

## Validation

Howard Springs



# Validation

Howard Springs



## Prediction



Scheiter et al 2014

Models are rapidly improving

New process included

- -fire
- -herbivores
- -human land use

Great for global studies, great for studying carbon cycling, doing cool experiments, but....







mixed forest evergreen forest

# South Africa



Predicted current biome climate envelope



Predicted biome climate envelope: CSIRO





# Adding Fynbos

Add fynbos fires

New Plant types: Restios, Proteoids

New trade offs: Thick leaves live long, photosynthesize slow

New nutrient dynamics: Growth of new tissue cost more on infertile soil

New hydrological games: Proteoids have roots in deepest soil layers



# Next steps

Validate, Validate, Validate

Project spatially and under climate change

Add succulents?

### Moving away from PFTs

We already know that PFTs have many drawbacks

In a diverse system like fynbos, we can hardly expect one PFT to capture all diversity

We can however use traits to describe plant diversity, and if we want to, classify our simulated community of traits afterwards into a biome we are familiar with....

#### Trait based models





Existing regional models



Sakschewski et al 2015

Recap

Correlative models are useful, but have big drawbacks

DGVMs can overcome some of these problems, but are very complex and need to be interrogated

Out-of-the-box global models aren't appropriate, they need modifications

Ultimately we need to give up on PFTs and use traits to model plant diversity, but this requires lots of detail and data

#### Thanks:

William Bond - UCT, SAEON

Guy Midgley - Stellenbosch

Liam Langan – Goethe University Frankfurt

Biodiversity and Climate Research Center, Frankfurt, Germany

SAEON fynbos node